# Effects of oral premedication with tramadol, pregabalin or clonidine on shivering after spinal anaesthesia in patients undergoing hysteroscopic procedures

Khaled Mohamed Gaballah, Sabry Ibrahim Abdallah

Department of Anaesthesia, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Egypt

### Abstract

**Background:** Shivering is a common complication of neuraxial anaesthesia. We compared the efficacy of tramadol, clonidine and pregabalin in preventing post-spinal anaesthesia shivering in hysteroscopic procedures.

**Methods:** A prospective, randomized, triple-blind, controlled clinical trial involving 120 ASA I-II women, aged 18–60 years. The patients were randomly allocated to receive either oral clonidine 0.2 mg (group C), tramadol 100 mg (group T), pregabalin 150 mg (group P) or placebo (group O) 90 minutes before spinal anaesthesia. The body temperature was monitored at the forehead and tympanic membrane. The primary outcome was the occurrence of perioperative shivering. The secondary outcomes were the side effects and meperidine requirements to treat shivering.

**Results:** All groups had comparable demographic data. Group C showed the lowest incidence, severity and number of intraoperative and postoperative shivering attacks. The time to the first shivering attack was significantly longer in group C than the other groups and in group T than groups P and O. The severity of shivering attacks was comparable among groups C, T and P while being significantly lower than group O. Meperidine requirements were significantly lower in group C. Groups C, T and P had a significantly higher sedation score than group O. The incidences of dizziness, nausea and vomiting were highest in group T.

**Conclusions:** Tramadol, pregabalin and clonidine seem to be effective oral premedications to reduce the incidence, frequency and severity of post-spinal shivering but clonidine proved to be more effective and tolerable.

Key words: premedication, tramadol, pregabalin, clonidine, post-spinal shivering.

Anaesthesiol Intensive Ther 2020; 52, 3: 187-196

Received: 03.02.2020, accepted: 07.04.2020

### **CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:**

Dr. Khaled Mohamed Gaballah, Department of Anaesthesia, Faculty of Medicine, Menoufia University, Yassin Abdelghaffar St., Shebin Al-Kom, Menoufia, 32511, Egypt, e-mail: khqaballah@gmail.com

Shivering is a common unpleasant perioperative complication of neuraxial anaesthesia. It has been reported in 40–70% of regionally anaesthetized patients. Shivering is a physiological compensatory response to core hypothermia due to redistribution of heat as a result of vasodilatation from chemical sympathectomy of spinal anaesthesia, exposure to a cool environment, infusion of unwarmed fluids and evaporation from exposed surfaces [1].

During neuraxial anaesthesia, not only is core heat redistributed from the trunk (below the sympathectomy level) to the periphery, but also the thermoregulatory system is significantly impaired due to the inhibited tonic vasoconstriction [2]. Moreover, the shivering threshold is reduced by about 0.5°C during neuraxial anaesthesia [3]. The exposure of the thermosensitive structures within the spinal cord to a cold local anaesthetic is another contributing factor [4].

Post-spinal shivering presents with different degrees ranging from skin eruption in the mild form up to generalized skeletal muscle contraction in the severe form that interferes with oxygen saturation, pulse rate, blood pressure and electrocardiographic monitoring [3].

Shivering increases the metabolic rate, the oxygen consumption and carbon dioxide production with lactic acidosis, which is hazardous in patients with poor cardiac and/or respiratory reserves. It also increases intraocular and intracranial pressures and leads to hypertension, tachycardia, patient discomfort, increased wound pain, delayed wound healing, and delayed discharge from the post-anaesthetic care unit (PACU) [1, 5]. That is why its primary prevention and prompt control are warranted.

Nonpharmacological measures such as maintaining perioperative normothermia, infusion of

warm fluids, using warm covers and warm sheets have been recommended to prevent shivering [1]. Pharmacological agents such as clonidine ( $\alpha_2$  agonist) and tramadol ( $\mu$ -opioid agonist) possess antishivering properties [6]. Also, pregabalin has been reported to exert anti-shivering effects [7]. Perioperative pain and stress may promote non-thermoregulatory shivering and increase the thermoregulatory set-point [8].

Considering the spinal anaesthesia, the continuous uterine irrigation with fluids during hysteroscopic procedures and the higher incidence of shivering in young females together with known analgesic and anxiolytic properties of tramadol, clonidine and pregabalin, we aimed to compare the efficacy and safety of these drugs as a prophylaxis against post-spinal shivering [9].

## **METHODS**

This randomized prospective triple-blinded placebo-controlled trial was conducted at Menoufia University Hospitals between September 2017 and November 2018 after approval from the local ethics and research committee. Informed written consent was obtained from each patient as per the ethical protocols and guidelines. The trial was registered at www. pactr.org (PACTR201710002423425). The study was conducted in concordance with the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines.

We studied 120 American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status I or II female patients who were scheduled for operative hysteroscopic procedures with an anticipated duration of about 60 minutes under spinal anaesthesia. The participants were 18-60 years old at the time of enrolment in the study. Patients who were feverish or hypothermic before the procedure were excluded. Patients with a history of hypertension, cardiovascular, psychiatric, neurological, endocrine, chest disease, and/ or severe renal or hepatic dysfunction were excluded. In addition, we did not include obese patients (BMI  $\geq$  30 kg m<sup>-2</sup>), those who needed intraoperative blood transfusion and those with known allergy to clonidine, pregabalin, tramadol or any of this study's drugs. Also, participants who had a history of drug or alcohol abuse and/or those who were on  $\beta$  blockers, vasodilators, gonadotropin, tramadol, clonidine or pregabalin before the surgery were excluded. Any contraindication to neuraxial block was an exclusion criterion.

None of the patients, the data collector or the outcome assessors was aware of the given premedication. The participants were randomly allocated to one of four groups of 30 patients each using a randomization table that was created by a computer software program. The randomization list was con-

cealed in sealed opaque envelopes. Meanwhile, the entire drug preparation and administration process was performed by an anaesthesiologist who was not involved in the study.

Before administration of the oral premedication, each patient's baseline heart rate, mean systemic arterial pressure, pulse oximetry, forehead skin temperature, tympanic membrane temperature and sedation score were measured. Ninety minutes before administering the intrathecal anaesthesia, group C patients (n=30) received a tablet containing clonidine (0.2 mg) and a multivitamin capsule, while group P patients (n=30) received a pregabalin (150 mg) capsule and a multivitamin tablet, group T (n=30) patients received a tramadol (100 mg) capsule and a multivitamin tablet, and group O received a multivitamin tablet and a multivitamin capsule. All oral premedication drugs were given with a sip of water.

All the anaesthetic and surgical techniques were standardized. On arrival at the operating room, continuous electrocardiogram, non-invasive blood pressure and pulse oximetry monitors were applied. The body temperature was monitored at the forehead skin and the tympanic membrane every 5 minutes intraoperatively and every 30 minutes postoperatively. Baseline readings of all the parameters were recorded.

An 18-gauge cannula was inserted in a peripheral vein and a warmed (37°C) lactated Ringer solution infusion (7 mL kg<sup>-1</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) was started. Granisetron (1 mg) was administered intravenously as a prophylaxis against nausea and vomiting. Thereafter the patient was supported in the sitting position. Intrathecal anaesthetic (room temperature hyperbaric bupivacaine 0.5% (12.5 mg) + fentanyl 25 μg (0.5 mL) was given under complete aseptic technique using warm Betadine (37°C) then the patient was returned to the supine position. After ascertaining adequacy of the block, the patient was positioned in the lithotomy position. The operating theatre was conditioned to maintain constant humidity of 65% and ambient temperature at 24°C. All the patients were dressed in standard gowns and leggings up to mid-thigh then covered by a standard blanket. Humidified oxygen (5 L min<sup>-1</sup>) was supplemented with nasal prongs. The distending medium used for hysteroscopy (0.9% NaCl) was warmed (37°C) in a standard fluid warmer. The total used volume of the distending medium was recorded.

The patients were observed for the occurrence of shivering starting after the intrathecal injection until the wearing off of the spinal anaesthetic. The shivering severity was graded as: grade 0 (no shivering), grade 1 (piloerection or peripheral cyanosis, but without visible muscle activity), grade 2

(visible muscle activity confined to one muscle group), grade 3 (visible muscle activity in more than one muscle group), or grade 4 (gross muscle activity involving the whole body) [10].

Any shivering attack with a score > 2 was treated by IV meperidine (25 mg). Hypotension, defined as a decrease of systolic blood pressure below 90 mm Hg or decrease of the MAP more than 20% from the baseline measurement, was treated with incremental boluses of IV ephedrine (6 mg). Bradycardia, defined as heart rate  $\le$  60 beats min<sup>-1</sup>, was treated with IV atropine (0.5 mg).

The perioperative sedation state was recorded just before the intrathecal anaesthetic, intraoperatively every 15 minutes, at PACU admission and then every 30 minutes until 4 hours after admission to the PACU.

After the procedure the patient was shifted to the PACU which was conditioned as the operating theatre. The patients were covered with a standard blanket.

The primary outcome in the present study was the occurrence of shivering. Shivering incidence (number of intraoperative and postoperative attacks), time to occurrence after the intrathecal anaesthetic, severity of the attack at time of development and 5 minutes after meperidine administration and its response after meperidine administration (a decrease of shivering severity to grade 0 was reported as complete response, but incomplete response meant a decrease in shivering severity to a grade above 0), time between meperidine administration and the complete response were recorded.

The secondary outcomes were the cumulative dose of meperidine received, the time for the first postoperative analgesia request, and the perioperative complications (nausea and vomiting, hypertension or hypotension, bradycardia or tachycardia, dry mouth, dizziness, and desaturation).

It was reported that the median incidence of shivering after neuraxial anaesthesia is 55% [1]. To obtain a 20% reduction in the incidence of shivering in the study groups with a power of 90% and  $\alpha=0.9$ , a sample size of 25 patients in each group was required. We recruited 30 patients in each group in anticipation of any drop out or data loss.

The results were presented in a tabulated manner and analysed using IBM SPSS software package version 20.0. (Armonk, NY: IBM Corp). Qualitative data were described using number and percent. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was used to verify the normality of distribution. Quantitative data were described using range (minimum and maximum), mean, standard deviation and median. Significance of the obtained results was set at the 5% level. For categorical variables, the  $\chi^2$  test was used to com-

pare between different groups. Fisher's exact or Monte Carlo correction for  $\chi^2$  was used when more than 20% of the cells had an expected count less than 5. The F-test (ANOVA) was used for normally distributed quantitative variables, to compare between more than two groups, and the post hoc test (Tukey) for pairwise comparisons was used. For abnormally distributed quantitative variables, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used to compare between more than two studied groups and post hoc (Dunn's multiple comparisons test) for pairwise comparisons.

### **RESULTS**

The present study included 120 women who were randomized in equal numbers to receive oral premedication with tramadol, pregabalin, clonidine, or placebo (Figure 1). There were no significant differences between the studied groups in terms of demographic data or the volume of the distending medium (Table 1).

Regarding the primary outcomes of the present study, a significantly higher incidence of shivering (43.3%) was recorded in group O than the other groups (P = 0.025). Group C had the lowest incidence of shivering (13.3%), followed by group T (16.7%) with a statistically insignificant difference between them while being significantly lower than that in group P (20%). There was a statistically insignificant difference in the total number of perioperative shivering attacks among groups T, P and C (P > 0.05). None of group C patients developed more than 2 shivering attacks in comparison to 1, 3 and 4 patients in groups T, P, and O respectively (P = 0.004). The number of intraoperative attacks was significantly lower in premedication groups compared to the placebo group as well (P = 0.009), with insignificant differences between the premedication groups (P > 0.05). In the postoperative phase, a single shivering attack was recorded in 4 (13.3%), 5 (16.7%), 9 (30%) and 12 (40%) patients in groups C, T, P and O respectively (P = 0.069). The time to the first attack was significantly longer in group C (47.0  $\pm$  5.7 minutes) than groups T, P and O (35.0  $\pm$  3.5,  $21.2 \pm 4.9$  and  $16.0 \pm 2.6$  minutes respectively) (P < 0.001); and in group T than groups P and O as well (P < 0.001). The time to the second attack was significantly longer in group T than group O (P = 0.035) while being insignificantly different between groups T and P and O (P = 0.486 and P = 0.076 respectively). The median severity of the first attack was significantly higher in group O than other groups (P = 0.002), which in turn did not show any statistical significance between each other (P > 0.05). The severity of the first attack 5 minutes after meperidine treatment and that of the second attack both before and after meperidine treatment




FIGURE 1. Study flowchart

TABLE 1. Comparison between the four studied groups according to demographic data and volume of the distending medium

Demographic data	Group T ( <i>n</i> = 30)	Group P (n = 30)	Group C (n = 30)	Group 0 (n = 30)	F	<i>P</i> -value
Age (years)	$27.9 \pm 4.7$	29.9 ± 5.9	$28.6 \pm 4.7$	28.6 ±4.9	0.801	0.496
BMI (kg m <sup>-2</sup> )	$25.2 \pm 2.8$	25.4 ± 2.2	25.1 ± 2.0	$25.2 \pm 2.0$	0.113	0.952
Duration of surgery (min)	50.20 ±7.08	51.87 ± 6.09	54.20 ± 4.98	$53.23 \pm 5.96$	2.454	0.067
Volume of distending medium (mL)	$1833.3 \pm 373.3$	1825.0 ± 376.9	1873.3 ± 359.3	$1835.0 \pm 349.7$	0.105	0.957

F - F value for ANOVA test

 ${\it P}$  value given for comparing between the four studied groups

 $\mathsf{BMI}-\mathsf{body}\;\mathsf{mass}\;\mathsf{index}.$ 

were insignificantly different between the 4 groups (P > 0.05). The shortest time until disappearance of the first attack was recorded in group C (1.1  $\pm$ 0.1 minutes); it was significantly shorter than that in groups O and P (1.6  $\pm$  0.1 and 1.4  $\pm$  0.1 minutes respectively) (P < 0.001) while being insignificantly different from group T (P = 0.546). Similarly, the time until disappearance of the first attack was significantly shorter in groups T and P than group O (P < 0.05). Furthermore, group T recorded the shortest time until disappearance of the second attack followed by groups P and O respectively with statistical significance between all of them (P < 0.05). The response of all the perioperative shivering attacks to meperidine treatment and the severity of the postoperative shivering attacks both before and after meperidine treatment were insignificantly different across the studied groups (P > 0.05). Ten percent of group C patients received meperidine, compared to 16.7%, 20% and 50% in groups T, P and O respectively (P < 0.001). The longest time for the first postoperative analgesia request was found in group P followed by groups C, T and O with statistical significance between all of them (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

The sedation scores were significantly higher in groups T, C and P than group O before spinal anaesthesia (P < 0.001). This difference was sustained until the end of study's follow-up with an average score of 2-2.3 in premedication groups (P < 0.001). However, no significant differences between premedication groups were observed at any time point (P > 0.05; Figure 2).

In terms of adverse events, the incidence of dizziness in group T was 33.3%, compared to 20%, 6.7% and 0% in groups P, C and O respectively

TABLE 2. Comparison between the four studied groups according to shivering analysis

Group T         Group P         Group C $(n = 30)$	Group 0 (n = 30) 13 <sup>b</sup> (43.3%) 1 <sup>a</sup> (0-3) 1 <sup>b</sup> (26.7%) 13 <sup>b</sup> (43.3%) 5 <sup>a</sup> (16.7%) 1 (0-2) 1 (0-2) 1 (0-2) 1 (0-2) 1 (0-2) 5 <sup>a</sup> (40.0%) 5 <sup>a</sup> (16.7%) 0 (0-1)	Test of significance $\chi^2 = 9.317^*$ $H = 14.574^*$ $\chi^2 = 21.811^*$ $\chi^2 = 11.549^*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618^*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618^*$	0.002* 0.002* 0.004* 0.036* 0.036*
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(i) $(n = 30)$ $(n = 30)$ (ii) $4^{\circ} (13.3\%)$ $13^{\circ} (43.3\%)$ (iii) $0^{\circ} (0-2)$ $1^{\circ} (0-3)$ (iv) $22^{\circ} (73.3\%)$ $8^{\circ} (26.7\%)$ (iv) $5^{\circ} (16.7\%)$ $13^{\circ} (43.3\%)$ (iv) $5^{\circ} (16.7\%)$ $13^{\circ} (43.3\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $4^{\circ} (13.3\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $4^{\circ} (13.3\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $4^{\circ} (13.3\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $12^{\circ} (40.0\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $12^{\circ} (40.0\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $12^{\circ} (40.0\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $5^{\circ} (16.7\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $5^{\circ} (16.7\%)$ (iv) $0^{\circ} (0.0\%)$ $5^{\circ} (16.7\%)$	$\chi^{2} = 9.317*$ $H = 14.574*$ $\chi^{2} = 21.811*$ $H = 11.549*$ $\chi^{2} = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.002* 0.002* 0.004* 0.036* 0.036*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	%) $4^a (13.3\%)$ $13^b (43.3\%)$ 10 $0^b (0-2)$ $1^a (0-3)$ $= 0.002^*$ , $P_4 = 0.346$ , $P_5 = 0.012^*$ , $P_6 = 0.001^*$ $1^a (0-3)$ %) $22^a (73.3\%)$ $8^b (26.7\%)$ %) $5^a (16.7\%)$ $13^b (43.3\%)$ %) $3^a (10.0\%)$ $4^a (13.3\%)$ %) $0^b (0.0\%)$ $4^a (13.3\%)$ %) $0(0-1)$ $1(0-2)$ %) $23^a (76.7\%)$ $12^b (40.0\%)$ %) $7^a (23.3\%)$ $13^a (43.3\%)$ %) $0^b (0.0\%)$ $5^a (16.7\%)$ %) $0^b (0.0\%)$ $5^a (16.7\%)$	$\chi^{2} = 9.317*$ $H = 14.574*$ $\chi^{2} = 21.811*$ $H = 11.549*$ $\chi^{2} = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.002* 0.002* 0.004* 0.036* 0.036*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$H = 14.574*$ $\chi^{2} = 21.811*$ $H = 11.549*$ $\chi^{2} = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.002*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\chi^2 = 21.811*$ $H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.004*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$22^{a} (73.3\%)$ $5^{a} (16.7\%)$ $3^{b} (10.0\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$ $= 0.314, P_{5} = 0.034^{*}, P_{6} = 0.002^{*}$ $23^{a} (76.7\%)$ $7^{a} (23.3\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$	$\chi^2 = 21.811*$ $H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.004*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$S^{a} (16.7\%)$ $3^{a} (10.0\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$ $23^{a} (76.7\%)$ $7^{a} (23.3\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0.0-1)$	$H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.009*
$4^{4}(13.3\%)$ $5^{4}(16.7\%)$ $3^{3}(10.0\%)$ $9^{4}(13.3\%)$ $9^{4}(10.0\%)$ $9^{$	$3^{*} (10.0\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$ $= 0.314, P_{5} = 0.034^{*}, P_{6} = 0.002^{*}$ $23^{2} (76.7\%)$ $7^{2} (23.3\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$	$H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.009*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$ $0.314, P_{s} = 0.034^{*}, P_{e} = 0.002^{*}$ $23^{a} (76.7\%)$ $7^{a} (23.3\%)$ $0^{b} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$	$H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.036*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$0 (0-1)$ $= 0.314, P_s = 0.034^*, P_e = 0.002^*$ $23^a (76.7\%)$ $7^a (23.3\%)$ $0^b (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$	$H = 11.549*$ $\chi^2 = 12.618*$ $H = 7.022$	0.036*
$P_{1} = 0.564, P_{2} = 0.067, P_{3} = 0.007^{*}, P_{4} = 0.314, P_{5} = 0.034^{*}, P_{6} = 0.002^{*}$ $22^{3} (73.3\%) \qquad 20^{6} (66.7\%) \qquad 23^{3} (76.7\%)$ $6^{3} (20.0\%) \qquad 7^{3} (23.3\%) \qquad 7^{3} (23.3\%)$ $0 (0-1) \qquad 0 (0-1) \qquad 0 (0-1) \qquad 0 (0-1)$ $25 (83.3\%) \qquad 21 (70\%) \qquad 26 (86.7\%)$ $5 (16.7\%) \qquad 9 (30\%) \qquad 4 (13.3\%)$ $6 (16.4\%) \qquad 9 (30\%) \qquad 4 (13.3\%)$ $6 (16.4\%) \qquad 9 (10.4\%) \qquad 0 (10.4)$ $1 = 0.680, P_{2} = 0.486, P_{3} = 0.035^{*}, P_{5} = 0.013^{*}, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$ $1 = 0.680, P_{2} = 0.489, P_{3} = 0.004^{*}, P_{4} = 0.269, P_{5} = 0.013^{*}, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$ $1 = 0.690, P_{2} = 0.489, P_{3} = 0.004^{*}, P_{4} = 0.269, P_{5} = 0.013^{*}, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$	$= 0.314, P_{5} = 0.034^{*}, P_{6} = 0.002^{*}$ $23^{3} (76.7\%)$ $7^{3} (23.3\%)$ $0^{0} (0.0\%)$ $0 (0-1)$	$\chi^2 = 12.618^*$ $H = 7.022$	0.036*
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23° (76.7%) 7° (23.3%) 0° (0.0%) 0 (0–1)	$\chi^2 = 12.618^*$ $H = 7.022$	0.036*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	7² (23.3%) 0² (0.0%) 0 (0-1)	H=7.022	0.071
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 <sup>b</sup> (0.0%) 0 (0–1)	H = 7.022	0.071
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0 (0-1)	H = 7.022	0.071
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		2,2-7 200	0.063
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		$\chi_{-}=7.50$	
$35.0 \pm 3.5$ $21.2 \pm 4.9$ $P_{1} < 0.001^{*}, P_{2} = 0.001^{*}, P_{3} < 0.001^{*}, P_{4} < 0.001^{*}, P_{5} = 0.053, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$ $53.5 \pm 3.5$ $R_{1} = 0.486, P_{3} = 0.035^{*}, P_{5} = 0.076$ $0 (0-4)$ $P_{1} = 0.680, P_{2} = 0.489, P_{3} = 0.004^{*}, P_{4} = 0.269, P_{5} = 0.013^{*}, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$ $0 (0-3)$ $0 (0-1)$ $0 (0-1)$ $0 (0-1)$			
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F=81.104*	< 0.001*
$53.5 \pm 3.5 \qquad 48.4 \pm 5.8 \qquad -$ $p_1 = 0.486, p_3 = 0.035^*, p_5 = 0.076$ $0 (0-4) \qquad 0 (0-4) \qquad 0 (0-3)$ $p_1 = 0.680, p_2 = 0.489, p_3 = 0.004^*, p_4 = 0.269, p_5 = 0.013^*, p_6 < 0.001^*$ $0 (0-3) \qquad 0 (0-3) \qquad 0 (0-1)$ $0 (0-1) \qquad 0 (0-1)$	$_{3} < 0.001^{*}, P_{4} < 0.001^{*}, P_{5} = 0.053, P_{6} < 0.001^{*}$		
$p_1 = 0.486, p_3 = 0.035^*, p_5 = 0.076$ $0 (0-4) \qquad 0 (0-4) \qquad 0 (0-3)$ $P_1 = 0.680, P_2 = 0.489, P_3 = 0.004^*, P_4 = 0.269, P_5 = 0.013^*, P_6 < 0.001^*$ $0 (0-3) \qquad 0 (0-3) \qquad 0 (0-1)$ $0 (0-1) \qquad 0 (0-1)$	.8 - 38.7 ± 4.0	F=5.889*	0.032*
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$486, p_3 = 0.035^*, p_5 = 0.076$		
0 (0-3)		H = 14.776*	0.002*
0 (0-3) 0 (0-3) 0 (0-1) 0 (0-1)	$= 0.004^{\circ}$ , $P_4 = 0.269$ , $P_5 = 0.013^{\circ}$ , $P_6 < 0.001^{\circ}$		
0 (0-1) 0 (0-1)		H = 2.434	0.487
		H = 5.313	0.150
Severity of the second attack 5 min after meperidine $0 (0-0)$ $0 (0-0)$ $0 (0-0)$		H = 0.0	1.000
Time until disappearance of the first attack (min) $1.0\pm0.1$ $1.4\pm0.1$ $1.1\pm0.1$		F = 56.969*	< 0.001*
Significance between groups $P_1 < 0.001^*, P_2 = 0.546, P_3 < 0.001^*, P_4 = 0.006^*, P_5 = 0.016^*, P_6 < 0.001^*$	$< 0.001*, P_4 = 0.006*, P_5 = 0.016*, P_6 < 0.001*$		
Time until disappearance of the second attack (min) $0.9\pm0.1$ $1.2\pm0.0$ $-$	0 - 1.4 ± 0.1	F = 33.250*	< 0.001*
Significance between groups $P_1 = 0.005*, P_3 < 0.001*, P_5 = 0.034*$	$55^*$ , $P_3 < 0.001^*$ , $P_5 = 0.034^*$		

TABLE 2. Cont.

Parameter	Group T $(n=30)$	Group P $(n=30)$	Group C $(n=30)$	Group 0 $(n=30)$	Test of significance	Ь
Response of the first attack						
Incomplete	1 (20.0%)	3 (50.0%)	2 (50.0%)	4 (36.4%)	$\chi^2 = 1.461$	0.802
Complete	4 (80.0%)	3 (50.0%)	2 (50.0%)	7 (63.6%)		
Response of the second attack						
Incomplete	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	I	0 (0.0%)	ı	ı
Complete	1 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)	I	5 (100.0%)		
Shivering severity in the PACU	0 (0–2)	0 (0–2)	0 (0–2)	0 (0-4)	H = 3.547	0.315
Severity of the PACU shivering attack 5 min after meperidine	0 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	0-0)0	0 (0-1)	H = 3.480	0.323
Time to the first postoperative attack (min)	$71.0 \pm 2.7$	$68.3 \pm 5.5$	81.8 ± 6.5	66.0 ± 2.8	F=12.404*	< 0.001*
Significance between groups	$P_1 = 0.6$	$P_1 = 0.688, P_2 = 0.007^*, P_3 = 0.195, P_4 < 0.001^*, P_5 = 0.610, P_6 < 0.001^*$	$P_4 < 0.001^*, P_5 = 0.610, P_6$	< 0.001*		
Response of the PACU attack						
Incomplete	ı	4 (57.1%)	ı	7 (58.3%)	$\chi^{2} = 0.003$	1.000
Complete	ı	3 (42.9%)	ı	5 (41.7%)		
Meperidine requirement						
No	25a (83.3%)	24 <sup>a</sup> (80.0%)	27ª (90.0%)	15 <sup>b</sup> (50.0%)	$\chi^2 = 15.415^*$	0.001*
Yes	5ª (16.7%)	6ª (20.0%)	3ª (10.0%)	15 <sup>b</sup> (50.0%)		
Total meperidine consumption (mg)	$35.0 \pm 13.7$	$37.5 \pm 13.7$	$25.0 \pm 0.0$	33.3 ± 12.2	F = 0.716	0.552
Time for first postoperative analgesia request (min)	$187.2 \pm 9.2$	$277.5 \pm 8.8$	$209.5 \pm 8.8$	$169.4 \pm 5.7$	F = 985.65*	< 0.001*
Significance between groups	$P_1 < 0.00$	$P_1 < 0.001^{*},  P_2 < 0.001^{*},  P_3 < 0.001^{*},  P_4 < 0.001^{*},  P_5 < 0.001^{*},  P_6 < 0.001^{*}$	$P_4 < 0.001^*, P_5 < 0.001^*, P$	, < 0.001*		

F-ANOWA test, Pairwise comparison between each 2 groups was done using post hoc test (Tukey). H-Kruskal Wallis test, Pairwise comparison bet. each 2 groups was done using post hoc test (Tukey). H-Kruskal Wallis test, Pairwise comparison bet. each 2 groups was done using post hoc test (Dunn's for multiple comparisons test).  $\chi^2-$  chi square test. "Statistically significant at  $P \ge 0.05$ 

Common letters are not significant (i.e. different letters are significant)

P.P value for comparing between the four studied groups

P.P value for comparing between group T and group P

P.P value for comparing between group T and group C

P.P value for comparing between group T and group C

P.P value for comparing between group P and group C

P.P value for comparing between group P and group C

P.P value for comparing between group P and group O

P.P value for comparing between group C and group O

(P < 0.001). Otherwise, the incidences of blood pressure and heart rate changes and perioperative nausea and vomiting were comparable between studied groups (P > 0.05) (Table 3). There was no single desaturation episode in any of the study participants. Despite the observed trend of a drop in body temperature, the changes in skin and tympanic membrane temperatures were not significantly different between the studied groups (P > 0.05; Figures 3 and 4).

# **DISCUSSION**

The results of this trial verified the efficacy and safety of oral premedication with clonidine, pregabalin, or tramadol in preventing post-spinal shivering. Clonidine, pregabalin, and tramadol effectively reduced the incidence, frequency, severity and duration of shivering attacks and perioperative meperidine consumption. Moreover, these medications significantly prolonged the time until the first shivering attack and first postoperative analgesic request. On the other hand, the findings showed that clonidine was more effective than tramadol and pregabalin in decreasing the severity, duration and number of post-spinal shivering attacks. Tramadol showed similar trends when compared to pregabalin. In terms of safety profile, tramadol and pregabalin appeared to increase the risk of dizziness compared to clonidine; however, no other major adverse events were observed.

The overall incidence of shivering in this study was 23.3% compared to the reported incidence of 40–70% in some other studies [2, 3]. This may be attributed to the prophylactic pharmacological and nonpharmacological measures used in this study. As any anti-shivering medication will decrease the metabolic heat generation [11], we combined the nonpharmacological measures to compensate for that. When non-pharmacological measures were not used in patients undergoing elective abdominal, orthopaedic and gynaecological surgery under spinal anaesthesia, shivering developed notably earlier than that recorded in our study [12].

Clonidine acts centrally and peripherally as a selective partial  $\alpha_2$  adrenergic receptor agonist with sedative and analgesic properties [13]. Although it was previously thought that clonidine exerts an anti-shivering effect by peripherally inhibiting the sympathetic activity and vasoconstriction, a cumulative body of evidence demonstrated that clonidine also acts centrally by reducing the thermoregulatory thresholds for vasoconstriction and increasing the sweat thresholds [14, 15]. It also decreases spontaneous firing in the locus coeruleus, which is a pro-shivering centre. Therefore, a growing number of published trials assessed the prophylac-




FIGURE 2. Sedation scores among the study groups




FIGURE 3. Skin temperature among the study groups




FIGURE 4. Tympanic membrane temperature (TMT) among the study groups

tic effects of clonidine on post-spinal shivering. In the present trial, we demonstrated that premedication oral clonidine was an effective prophylaxis against post-spinal shivering and it significantly reduced the incidence, severity, and duration of shivering attacks. In concordance with our findings,

TABLE 3. Comparison between the four studied groups according to side effects

Parameter		Tramadol ( <i>n</i> = 30)	Pregabalin $(n = 30)$	Clonidine ( <i>n</i> = 30)	Placebo ( <i>n</i> = 30)	χ²	P	
Nausea and vom	niting							
Intraoperative		2 (6.7%)	1 (3.3%)	1 (3.3%)	0 (0.0%)	2.062	0.905	
Postoperative		2 (6.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3.599	0.244	
MAP changes	Intraoperative							
	No change	22 (73.3%)	27 (90.0%)	25 (83.3%)	27 (90.0%)	3.816	0.258	
	Hypotension	8 (26.7%)	3 (10.0%)	5 (16.7%)	3 (10.0%)			
	Hypertension	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
	Postoperative							
	No change	30 (100.0%)	28 (93.3%)	27 (90.0%)	30 (100.0%)	4.693	0.168	
	Hypotension	0 (0.0%)	2 (6.7%)	3 (10.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
	Hypertension	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
HR changes	Intraoperative		,					
	No change	23 (76.7%)	26 (86.7%)	26 (86.7%)	28 (93.3%)	3.299	0.380	
	Tachycardia	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
	Bradycardia	7 (23.3%)	4 (13.3%)	4 (13.3%)	2 (6.7%)			
	Postoperative							
	No change	30 (100.0%)	29 (96.7%)	26 (86.7%)	30 (100.0%)	6.223	0.054	
	Tachycardia	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)			
	Bradycardia	0 (0.0%)	1 (3.3%)	4 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)			
Dizziness		10 <sup>a</sup> (33.3%)	6 <sup>a,b</sup> (20.0%)	2 <sup>b,c</sup> (6.7%)	0° (0.0%)	15.547*	0.001*	
Dry mouth		1 (3.3%)	2 (6.7%)	4 (13.3%)	0 (0.0%)	4.602	0.207	

 $<sup>\</sup>chi^2$  – chi square test. \*Statistically significant at  $P \le 0.05$ Common letters are not significant (i.e. different letters are significant)

several double blinded, placebo-controlled trials reported that oral clonidine premedication significantly reduced the incidence, severity and duration of post-spinal shivering [16–18]. Furthermore, 2 meta-analyses showed a significant reduction in the incidence of post-spinal shivering after prophylactic oral or IV administration of clonidine [19, 20]. When lower doses (0.15 mg) of oral clonidine premedication were used before spinal anaesthesia for urological surgeries, despite showing significant anti-shivering properties, higher incidence, frequency and severity of shivering than those found in our study were recorded [13, 21].

On the other hand, meperidine, an agonist of  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$ -opioid receptor, has been previously considered as the gold standard treatment option for postanaesthesia shivering due to its potent inhibitory effects on the spinal pathway [6]. However, it was reported that meperidine was associated with a high rate of adverse events such as nausea, vomiting, and respiratory failure [22]. Tramadol, a synthetic opioid with weak activity on  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$ -opioid receptors, inhibits the reuptake of 5-HT, norepinephrine, and dopamine and facilitates 5-HT release. Its anti-shivering effects may also be partially explained by its

effects at the level of the pons. Thus, it has emerged as a more effective and safer alternative for prevention and management of post-anaesthetic shivering [23, 24]. Our trial showed that oral tramadol was effective in reducing the incidence, frequency, duration and severity of post-spinal shivering and meperidine consumption. In agreement with our results, tramadol was reported to be effective in decreasing the incidence and severity of shivering after spinal or general anaesthesia [5, 25-27]. Our study results showed that the efficacy of anti-shivering medications greatly varies between its prophylactic and therapeutic uses. After IV therapeutic use, tramadol was reported to have superior anti-shivering properties (a better response rate and shorter response time but with a comparable recurrence rate) than clonidine [28]. Gupta et al. [29] premedicated patients undergoing abdominal hysterectomy under spinal anaesthesia with oral clonidine (0.1 mg) or tramadol (50 mg). In comparison to our findings, they reported comparable shivering incidences (13.3% and 23.3%) but with earlier onset time (30 minutes). The comparable incidence despite the lower doses may be attributed to the older age of their participants and the different nature of the

surgery. Tewari *et al.* [30] premedicated patients undergoing transurethral resection of the prostate with either clonidine (0.15 mg) or tramadol (50 mg) and reported lower incidences of shivering but with earlier onset time than our study results. Their lower shivering incidences may relate to the difference in age and gender of their population.

Pregabalin is an anticonvulsant that demonstrates efficacy in reducing neuropathic pain, anxiety, and fibromyalgia [31]. Owing to its regulatory effects on calcium influx through calcium channels in presynaptic neurons and gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) receptors, recent reports have proposed that pregabalin can be a promising prophylactic option for post-anaesthetic shivering, with conflicting results [7, 32]. For example, Ozgencil et al. [33] reported that pregabalin lowered the incidence of shivering compared to placebo after general anaesthesia for spine surgery. Meanwhile, Hashemian et al. [7] observed no significant impact of pregabalin on shivering incidence after general anaesthesia for laparoscopic cholecystectomy. This may be attributed to the use of pethidine for postoperative pain relief, which may have suppressed the shivering occurrence. However, no report, to the best of our knowledge, has assessed the prophylactic role of pregabalin after spinal anaesthesia. In the present trial, pregabalin exhibited significant efficacy in reducing the incidence and severity of post-spinal shivering.

The difference in shivering incidence despite the absence of significant difference in temperature changes among the groups reinforces the suggestion that mechanisms other than heat loss and subsequent drop in core temperature contribute to shivering pathogenesis. Another reinforcing factor is the improvement of shivering after meperidine despite the persistent trend towards hypothermia in all groups. The used drugs in our study have no thermogenic effects; therefore their anti-shivering properties may be related to lowering of the shivering threshold. Moreover, pain and temperature signals are conducted along similar pathways which synapse in dorsal horn regions [15]. It has been reported that pain may provoke shivering and that its alleviation may prevent non-thermoregulatory shivering [6, 34]. The analgesic properties of the drugs used in the current study may also have contributed.

We found, as did other studies, that clonidine was the most effective oral premedication option for reducing shivering incidence, frequency, severity, and duration while prolonging the analgesia duration, followed by tramadol then pregabalin [12, 30, 35].

The side effects profile recorded in our study is consistent with the findings of other reports that showed higher incidence of dizziness after tramadol or pregabalin premedication [36-39]. Interestingly,

oral premedication with clonidine was not associated with hypotension or bradycardia, which are commonly reported after its IV use. The incidence of nausea and vomiting was higher after tramadol than clonidine, which is contrary to that reported after IV therapeutic use [12, 28]. We found, as did other investigators, a stable haemodynamic profile without a statistically significant difference among the study groups. This verifies the relatively better safety and tolerability of the oral prophylaxis than the IV treatment of shivering [29]. The 3 drugs were associated with significantly better sedation states than placebo premedicated patients.

We appreciate that the use of a fixed dose of the premedication agents is a limitation of the current study. However, this should not have affected the results considering the comparable body mass index of the participants. Further studies are warranted to determine the optimal dose of any of these drugs.

# **CONCLUSIONS**

In conclusion, our results highlighted that clonidine was more effective and tolerable than tramadol and pregabalin for reduction of the incidence, severity, frequency and duration of post-spinal shivering as well as prolongation of the times until the first shivering attack and the first analgesic request in patients undergoing hysteroscopic procedures. This reinforces the concept that prophylaxis is better than treatment. The oral route showed a simple, low cost and readily available premedication form. Our findings have implications for the prevention and management of post-spinal shivering provided they are confirmed in large-scale trials.

# **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

- 1. The authors confirm no financial or personal relationship with a third party whose interests could be positively or negatively influenced by the article's content.
- 2. Financial support and sponsorship: none.
- 3. Conflicts of interest: none.

# **REFERENCES**

- Crowley LJ, Buggy DJ. Shivering and neuraxial anesthesia. Reg Anesth Pain Med 2008; 33: 241-252. doi: 10.1016/j.rapm.2007.11.006.
- Usta B, Gozdemir M, Demircioglu RI, Muslu B, Sert H, Yaldiz A. Dexmedetomidine for the prevention of shivering during spinal anesthesia. Clinics (Sao Paulo) 2011; 66: 1187-1191. doi: 10.1590/S1807-59322011000700011.
- Matsukawa T, Sessler D, Christensen R, Ozaki M, Schroeder M. Heat flow and distribution during epidural anesthesia. Anaesthesiology1995; 83: 961-967. doi: 10.1097/0000542-199511000-00008.
- Chan AM, Ng KF, Tong EW, Jan GS. Control of shivering under regional anesthesia in obstetric patients with tramadol. Can J Anesth 1999; 46: 253-258. doi: 10.1007/BF03012605.
- Bhatnagar S, Saxena A, Kannan TR, Punj J, Panigrahi M, Mishra S. Tramadol for postoperative shivering: a double-blind comparison with pethidine. Anaesth Intensive Care 2001; 29: 149-154. doi: 10.1177/0310057X0102900209.

- Lopez MB. Postanaesthetic shivering–from pathophysiology to prevention. Rom J Anaesth Intensive Care 2018; 25: 73-81. doi: 10.21454/rjaic.7518.251.xum.
- Hashemian M, Jourian J, Lashkarizadeh MR. Comparing the effects of clonidine and pregabalin on postoperative shivering and pain in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Pharmacophore 2017; 8: 76-81.
- Grocott HP. Thermoregulation and perioperative hypothermia. In: Longnecker DE, Mackey MF, Sandberg WS, et al. (eds.) Anesthesiology. Third Edition. McGrwaw Hill Education, USA 2018, 1414: 22.
- Conti D, Ballo P, Boccalini R, et al. The effect of patient sex on the incidence of early adverse events in a population of elderly patients. Anaesth Intensive Care 2014; 42: 455-459. doi: 10.1177/ 0310057X1404200405.
- Wrench IJ, Singh P, Dennis AR, Mahajan RP, Crossley AW. The minimum effective doses of pethidine and doxapram in the treatment of post-etic shivering. Anaesthesia 1997; 52: 32-36. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.1997.006-az006.x.
- Crossley AW, Mcvey FK, Cartwright DP. Perioperative shivering. Lancet 1991; 338: 1026.
- Shukla U, Malhotra K, Prabhakar T. A comparative study of the effect of clonidine and tramadol on post-spinal anaesthesia shivering. Indian J Anaesth 2011; 55: 242-246. doi: 10.4103/0019-5049.82666.
- Lambert P, Cyna AM, Knight N, Middleton P. Clonidine premedication for postoperative analgesia in children. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2014; 28: CD009633. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD009633.pub2.
- Delaunay L, Bonnet F, Liu N, Beydon L, Catoire P, Sessler DI. Clonidine comparably decreases the thermoregulatory thresholds for vasoconstriction and shivering in humans. Anaesthesiology 1993; 79: 470-474. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199309000-00009.
- De Witte J, Sessler DI. Perioperative shivering: physiology and pharmacology. Anaesthesiology 2002; 96: 467-84.
- Mao CC, Tsou MY, Chia YY, Chow LH, Chan KH, Lee TY. Pre-anesthetic oral clonidine is effective to prevent post-spinal shivering. Acta Ansthesiol Sin 1998; 36: 137-142.
- Tewari A, Katyal S, Singh A, Garg S, Kaul TK, Narula N. Prophylaxis with oral clonidine prevents perioperative shivering in patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate under subarachnoid blockade. Indian J Urol 2006; 22: 208-212.
- Lone I-U-H, Bashir Y, Bashir N, et al. Role of oral clonidine in preventing postsubarachnoid block shivering in patients undergoing elective urological surgeries: an experience. Ain Shams J Anaesthesiol 2015; 8: 407-412.
- Lewis SR, Nicholson A, Smith AF, Alderson P. Alpha-2 adrenergic agonists for the prevention of shivering following general anaesthesia. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2015; 10: CD011107. doi: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD011107.pub2.
- Park SM, Mangat HS, Berger K, Rosengart AJ. Efficacy spectrum of antishivering medications: meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Crit Care Med 2012; 40: 3070-3082. doi: 10.1097/CCM. 0b013e31825b931e.
- Dhorigol MG, Dhulkhed VK, Biyani A, Desai N. Randomized controlled, double-blind study to evaluate oral clonidine to prevent post-subarachnoid block shivering in patients undergoing elective urological surgery. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2010; 26: 15-18.
- Dabir S, Jahandideh MA, Abbasinazari M, Kouzekanani H, Parsa TA, Radpay BA. The efficacy of a single dose of pethidine, fentanyl and morphine in treating postanesthesia shivering. Pak J Pharm Sci 2011; 24: 513-517.
- Sharma M, Kharbuja K, Khadka B. Comparison of Pethidine and Tramadol for Control of Shivering in Patients undergoing Elective Surgery under Spinal Anesthesia. J Lumbini Med College 2016; 4: 64-67.
- Mohta M, Kumari N, Tyagi A, Sethi AK, Agarwal D, Singh M. Tramadol for prevention of postanaesthetic shivering: a randomised double-blind comparison with pethidine. Anaesthesia 2009; 64: 141-146. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.2008.05711.x.
- Adinehmehr L, Salimi S, Majedi MA, Alizadeh A, Sane S. Comparison the effects of oral tizanidine and tramadol on intra-and post-operative shivering in patients underwent spinal anesthesia. Adv Biomed Res 2018; 7: 140. doi: 10.4103/abr.abr\_54\_18. eCollection 2018.
- Tewari A, Dhawan I, Mahendru V, Katyal S, Singh A, Garg S. Use of oral tramadol to prevent perianesthetic shivering in patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate under subarachnoid blockade. Saudi J Anaesth 2014; 8: 11-16. doi: 10.4103/1658-354X.125898.
- Heidari SM, Rahimi M, Soltani H, Hashemi SJ, Shabahang S. Premedication with oral tramadol reduces severity of postoperative shivering after general anesthesia. Adv Biomed Res 2014; 3: 64. doi: 10.4103/2277-9175.125845.

- Bansal P, Jain G. Control of shivering with clonidine, butorphanol, and tramadol under spinal anesthesia: a comparative study. Local Reg Anesth 2011; 4: 29-34. doi: 10.2147/LRA.S15366.
- Gupta K, Sharma S, Gupta PK, Kaur G, Tyagi V, Makroo BA. Clinical benefits of preemptive oral clonidine versus oral tramadol for abdominal hysterectomy conducted under subarachnoid block with 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine: a comparative evaluation. Inter J Res Med Sci 2017; 5: 3129-3134. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.18203/2320-6012.ijrms20173001.
- Tewari A, Dhawan I, Mahendru V, Katyal S, Singh A, Narula N. A comparative study evaluating the prophylactic efficacy of oral clonidine and tramadol for perioperative shivering in geriatric patients undergoing transurethral resection of prostate. J Anaesthesiol Clin Pharmacol 2014; 30: 340-344. doi: 10.4103/0970-9185.137264.
- Baldwin DS, Ajel K, Masdrakis VG, Nowak M, Rafiq R. Pregabalin for the treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: an update. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2013; 9: 883-892. doi: 10.2147/NDT.S36453.
- 32. Taylor CP, Angelotti T, Fauman E. Pharmacology and mechanism of action of pregabalin: the calcium channel  $\alpha 2-\delta$  (alpha2–delta) subunit as a target for antiepileptic drug discovery. Epilepsy Res 2007; 73: 137-150. doi.org/10.1016/j.eplepsyres.2006.09.008.
- 33. Ozgencil E, Yalcin S, Tuna H, Yorukoglu D, Kecik Y. Perioperative administration of gabapentin 1,200 mg day-1 and pregabalin 300 mg day-1 for pain following lumbar laminectomy and discectomy: a randomised, double-blinded, placebocontrolled study. Singapore Medical J 2011; 52: 883-889.
- Horn EP, Schroeder F, Wilhelm S, et al. Postoperative pain facilitates nonthermoregulatory tremor. Anaesthesiology 1999; 91: 979-984. doi: 10.1097/00000542-199910000-00017.
- Alijanpour E, Banihashem N, Maleh PA, Majd H, Ropani MA. Prophylactic effect of oral clonidine and tramadol in postoperative shivering in lower abdominal surgery. Open J Anaesthesiol 2016; 6: 137-148. doi: 10.4236/ojanes.2016.69023.
- Wang F, Shen X, Xu S, Liu Y. Preoperative tramadol combined with postoperative small-dose tramadol infusion after total abdominal hysterectomy: a double-blind, randomized, controlled trial. Pharmacol Rep 2009; 61: 1198-1205. doi: 10.1016/s1734-1140(09)70184-7.
- Ng KF, Tsui SL, Yang JC, Ho ET. Increased nausea and dizziness when using tramadol for post-operative patient-controlled analgesia (PCA) compared with morphine after intraoperative loading with morphine. Eur J Anaesthesiol 1998; 15: 565-570. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2346 1998 00354 x
- Zaccara G, Gangemi P, Perucca P, Specchio L. The adverse event profile of pregabalin: A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Epilepsia 2011; 52: 826-836. doi: 10.1111/j.1528-1167.2010.02966.x.
- Cho EA, Kim N, Lee B, Song J, Choi YS. The Effect of Perioperative Pregabalin on Pain after Arthroscopic Anterior Cruciate Ligament Reconstruction: A Randomized Controlled Trial. J Clin Med 2019; 8: 1426. doi: 10.3390/jcm8091426.